Linear Regression Models P8111

Lecture 16

Jeff Goldsmith March 24, 2016



Today's Lecture

- Ridge regression
- Lasso regression

Variable selection

Suppose $Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 I$. In Lecture 15 we talked about model selection:

- Given a lot of variables, which should we include in a model?
- Several approaches, but variables were either in or out
- Difficult for large p
- Gives results that are unbiased for the truth, but can be high variance

Gauss-Markov and MSE

Recall the Gauss-Markov theorem says OLS is BLUE. Maybe "unbiased" is more restrictive than we're interested in.

Alternatively, we could try to minimize the mean squared error:

$$MSE(\hat{\beta}) = E\left[\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= E\left[\left(\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta}) + E(\hat{\beta}) - \beta\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= E\left[\left(\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta})\right)^{2}\right] + \left(E(\hat{\beta}) - \beta\right)^{2}$$
$$= \text{variance}(\hat{\beta}) + \text{bias}^{2}(\hat{\beta})$$

Penalized regression

- Could try a shrinkage / penalization approach to trade some bias for lower variance and overall MSE
- Rather than a variable selection approach, all parameters stay in the model, but we restrict their effect
- We penalize the size of the coefficients unimportant variables will have their coefficients forced closer to zero

OLS is derived by minimizing the RSS:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} [RSS(\boldsymbol{\beta})]$$

= $\arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j x_{i,j} \right)^2 \right]$

Ridge regression adds an L_2 penalty to this:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{R} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} [RSS(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \lambda ||\boldsymbol{\beta}||_{2}]$$

=
$$\arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} - \beta_{0} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j} x_{i,j} \right)^{2} + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j}^{2} \right]$$

Graphical representation

The penalty shifts the center of the criterion:

Ridge regression in matrix notation

In matrix notation, we want to minimize

$$RSS(\beta) + \lambda \left|\left|\beta\right|\right|_{2} = (y - X\beta)^{T}(y - X\beta) + \lambda \beta^{T} P\beta$$

where *P* is the penalty matrix.

Ridge regression in matrix notation

Finding solutions to

$$RSS(\beta) + \lambda ||\beta||_2 = (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta) + \lambda \beta^T P\beta$$

Ridge regression estimates

The ridge regression estimates are given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{eta}}_R = \left(\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} + \lambda P
ight)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}$$

 λ acts as a tuning parameter

- For "small" values of λ , $\hat{\beta}_R \approx \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$
- For "large" values of λ , $\hat{\beta}_R \approx 0$

Is there an MLE equivalent to this?

Sort of ...

- We'll worry more about this later
- If we assume the β_j's are random (especially Normal) then there's a likelihood function that includes the penalty term

Properties of ridge regression

Ridge regression estimates are biased:

$$E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{R}) = E\left[\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{P}\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{y}\right]$$
$$= \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{X} + \lambda \boldsymbol{P}\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

- Tend to have lower variance than OLS
- Often lead to lower MSE's
- Interesting note penalized estimates may be identifiable even when *p* > *n*

MSE for predictions

MSE for β can be hard to discuss in practice

MSE for predictions can be easier to focus on

$$MSE(\hat{y}) = E\left[(\hat{y}-y)^2\right]$$

Could evaluate this using cross-validation

Tuning parameter selection

The tuning parameter λ is important for overall model fit

- Depending on λ , we may be looking at OLS or $\hat{\beta} = 0$
- "Truth" is usually somewhere in the middle
- It turns out that we've avoided variable selection, but now have to focus on tuning parameter selection
- Cross-validation is a common way of choosing λ

Life expectancy example

- Response: life expectancy
- Predictors: population, capital income, illiteracy rate, murder rate, percentage of high-school graduates, number of days with minimum temperature < 32, land area
- Data for 50 US states
- Time span: 1970-1975

> data(state)
> statedata = data.frame(state.x77,row.names=state.abb)
> model.full = lm(Life.Exp ~., data=statedata)
> coef(model.full)
(Intercept) Population Income Illiteracy Murder HS.Grad Frost Area
7.094e+01 5.180e-05 -2.180e-05 3.382e-02 -3.011e-01 4.892e-02 -5.735e-03 -7.383e-08

> model.ridge1 = lm.ridge(Life.Exp ~., data=statedata, lambda = 1000000)
> coef(model.ridge1)
(Intercept) Population Income Illiteracy Murder HS.Grad Frost Area
7.087e+01 -1.022e-09 3.716e-08 -6.479e-05 -1.419e-05 4.837e-06 3.383e-07 -8.442e-11

> model.ridge2 = lm.ridge(Life.Exp ~., data=statedata, lambda = .0000001)
> coef(model.ridge2)
(Intercept) Population Income Illiteracy Murder HS.Grad Frost Area
7.094e+01 5.180e-05 -2.180e-05 3.382e-02 -3.011e-01 4.892e-02 -5.735e-03 -7.383e-08

CV Plot



Coef Plot



> Lam.Final = lam[which(apply(MSE, 2, mean) == min(apply(MSE, 2, mean)))]
> model.ridge2 = lm.ridge(Life.Exp ~., data=statedata, lambda = Lam.Final)
> round(coef(model.ridge3), 5)
Population Income Illiteracy Murder HS.Grad Frost Area
70.55067 0.00003 0.00006 -0.16047 -0.22998 0.04334 -0.00438 0.00000

Lasso penalization

- Lasso (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) is a more recent penalized regression estimator
- Basic form is similar to that of ridge regression, but penalty function is different:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{L} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \left[RSS(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \lambda ||\boldsymbol{\beta}||_{1} \right]$$

$$= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} - \beta_{0} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j} x_{i,j} \right)^{2} + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_{j}| \right]$$

 Quite popular at the moment – broadly used, many adaptations

Lasso penalization

Some properties of Lasso penalties

- No closed form solution (although there are some computationally useful tricks)
- The different penalty form means Lasso has a tendency to shrink coefficients *all the way* to zero
- Can be useful as an automated variable selection approach
- Still have to choose λ; cross validation is a popular tool for this

lm(formula = Life.Exp ~ ., data = statedata)

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	7.094e+01	1.748e+00	40.586	< 2e-16	* * *
Population	5.180e-05	2.919e-05	1.775	0.0832	
Income	-2.180e-05	2.444e-04	-0.089	0.9293	
Illiteracy	3.382e-02	3.663e-01	0.092	0.9269	
Murder	-3.011e-01	4.662e-02	-6.459	8.68e-08	* * *
HS.Grad	4.893e-02	2.332e-02	2.098	0.0420	*
Frost	-5.735e-03	3.143e-03	-1.825	0.0752	
Area	-7.383e-08	1.668e-06	-0.044	0.9649	

CV plot



Coef plot



Area

Practical note

- In most cases, it's best to standardize predictors prior to penalizing
- Doing so ensures that the coefficients to be penalized have comparable effects on the outcome
- Not always obvious see, e.g. categorical and binary predictors – but useful nonetheless

Midterm grades



Today's big ideas

Ridge regression

Suggested reading: Faraway Ch. 9.5, ISLR Ch 6.2