

Linear Regression Models

P8111

Lecture 25

Jeff Goldsmith
April 26, 2016



THE DEPARTMENT OF
BIostatISTICS



Columbia University
MAILMAN SCHOOL
OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Today's Lecture

- Logistic regression / GLMs
 - ▶ Model framework ✓
 - ▶ Interpretation ✓
 - ▶ Estimation ✓

Linear regression

Course started with the model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$

where

$$\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$$

In particular, y_i has been continuous throughout the course

$$y_i | x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(x_i \beta, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$$

Binary responses

Binary outcomes are common in practice; usually indicate some event

- Yes vs no
- Transplant vs no transplant
- Death vs no death

Count

PROP [0, 1]

Binary responses

$$y|x \sim \mathcal{N}(x\beta, \sigma^2)$$

$$E(y|x)$$

How should we deal with binary (0/1) y's?


- Regression focuses on $E(y|x) = x\beta + \epsilon$
- For binary outcomes, we want $E(y|x) = p(y = 1|x)$
- Does $p_i = p(y = 1|x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$ work?

$$p_i = x\beta \quad X$$

$$p_i = x\beta \quad \text{? ?}$$

$$y_i = x\beta + \epsilon$$

Linear regression for binary outcome



What we need for binary outcomes

- Fitted probabilities should be between 0 and 1
- Use an invertible function $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ to link probabilities to the real line
- Build a model for $\underline{g(p_i)} = \underline{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i}$

$$g^{-1}(x\beta) = p_i$$

Link functions

- Lots of possible link functions: logit, probit, complimentary log-log
- By far, most common is the logit link:

$$g(p_i) = \text{logit}(p_i) = \log \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i} = z_i$$

- The inverse link function is also useful:

$$g^{-1}(z_i) = \frac{\exp(z_i)}{1 + \exp(z_i)} = p_i$$

$\Phi^{-1}(p_i)$



Logistic regression

Model is now

$$\begin{aligned}E(y_i|x_i) &= p_i \\g(p_i) &= \log \frac{p_i}{1-p_i} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i\end{aligned}$$

Using the logit link, we have

$$p_i = g^{-1}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)}$$

Parameter interpretation

Suppose we can estimate β_0, β_1 ; what do they mean?

For a binary predictor ...

Parameter interpretation

For a continuous predictor ...

Parameter estimation

- For linear regression, we used least squares and found that this corresponded to ML
- Try using maximum likelihood for logistic regression; need a likelihood ...

ML for logistic regression



- Assume that $[y_i|x_i] \sim \text{Bern}(p_i)$
- Density function is $p(y_i) = p_i^{y_i}(1 - p_i)^{1-y_i}$
- As before, use that $\text{logit}(p_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$
- Likelihood is

$$L(\beta_0, \beta_1; \mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{y_i}(1 - p_i)^{1-y_i}$$

ML for logistic regression

- Log likelihood is easier to work with, but it is typically not possible to find a closed-form solution
- Iterative algorithms are used instead (Newton-Raphson, Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares)
- These are implemented for a variety of link functions in R

Example



Code

```
> model = glm(y~x, family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = data)
> summary(model)

Call:
glm(formula = y ~ x, family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = data)

Deviance Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-1.9360  -0.4631   0.1561   0.5564   1.8131

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)  1.1072     0.3357   3.298 0.000974 ***
x            0.8097     0.1664   4.865 1.15e-06 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

    Null deviance: 129.49  on 99  degrees of freedom
Residual deviance:  73.24  on 98  degrees of freedom
AIC: 77.24

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```


Multiple predictors

- Essentially everything that worked for linear models works for logistic models:
 - ▶ Multiple predictors of various types
 - ▶ Interactions
 - ▶ Polynomials
 - ▶ Piecewise, splines
 - ▶ (Penalization, random effects, Bayesian models)

Testing in Logistic

- In linear models, many of our inferential procedures (ANOVA, F tests, ...) were based on RSS
- For logistic regression (and GLMs), we'll use the asymptotic Normality of MLEs:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \rightarrow N[0, V]$$

with $V = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ and weight matrix W to construct Wald tests

- Likelihood ratio tests can be used to compare nested models

Wald tests

For individual coefficients

- We can use the test statistic

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\widehat{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)}$$

- This is compared to a Normal distribution, trusting that the asymptotics have kicked in
- Recall that coefficients are on the logit scale ...

Confidence intervals

- A confidence interval with coverage $(1 - \alpha)$ is given by

$$\beta_j \pm t_{1-\alpha/2, n-p-1} \widehat{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)$$

- To create a confidence interval for the $\exp(\hat{\beta}_j)$, the estimated odds ratio, exponentiate:

$$(\exp(\hat{\beta}_j - 2\widehat{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)), \exp(\hat{\beta}_j + 2\widehat{se}(\hat{\beta}_j)))$$

Wald tests for multiple coefficients

- Define $H_0 : c^T \beta = c^T \beta_0$ or $H_0 : c^T \beta = 0$
- We can use the test statistic

$$T = \frac{c^T \hat{\beta} - c^T \beta_0}{\widehat{se}(c^T \hat{\beta})} = \frac{c^T \hat{\beta} - c^T \beta_0}{\sqrt{c^T \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) c}}$$

- Useful for some tests, looking at fitted values


Model building

- Can define a model building strategy (at least for nested models) using these
- Other tools, like AIC and BIC, can compare non-nested models


ROC curves

- Forget logistic for a minute
- Suppose you have some test to classifying subjects as diseased or non-diseased
- You can describe that test using sensitivity $P(+|D)$ and specificity $P(-|D')$
- These values depend on what threshold you use for your test


Threshold effect on sens, spec



Threshold effect on sens, spec



Better tests give better ROCs



Summarizing ROCs

- Area under the curve is a useful summary of an ROC
- AUC shouldn't be less than .5; can't be more than 1
- Bigger AUC indicates better classification
- Useful alternative to AIC, BIC, etc

Connection with logistic regression

- Your “test” might be $\hat{\mu}_i = \hat{p}(y_i = 1|x_i)$
- You can model this probability using logistic regression
- Cross-validated ROCs are a way to compare the predictive performance of different models:
 - ▶ Based on fitted model (from training set) you construct fitted probabilities $\hat{\mu}_i = \frac{\exp(x_i\beta)}{1+\exp(x_i\beta)}$ for subjects in the validation set
 - ▶ Validation subjects test “positive” or “negative” based on their fitted value; compare to the observed value

Generalizing this approach

Suppose instead of binary data, we have

$$y_i \sim EF(\mu_i, \theta)$$

where

$$E(y_i|x_i) = \mu_i$$

and

$$\text{Var}(y_i|x_i) = a(\phi)V(x_i)$$

with known variance function $V(\cdot)$ and dispersion parameter ϕ

Generalized Linear Model

Model components are the

- Probability distribution
- Link function
- Linear predictor

Linear regression as a GLM

Comparing linear and logistic

- ▶ Comparing linear, logistic, and Poisson regression models:

	Linear	Logistic	Poisson
Outcome	Continuous	Binary	Count
Distribution	Normal	Binomial	Poisson
Parameter	$E(Y) = \mu$	$E(Y) = p$	$E(Y) = \lambda$
Range of mean	$-\infty < \mu < \infty$	$0 < p < 1$	$0 < \lambda < \infty$
Variance	σ^2	$p(1 - p)$	λ
“Natural” Link	identity	logit	log

Other link functions?

Other GLMs

Framework holds for any member of the exponential family

- Probability distribution
- Link function
- Linear predictor

Exponential family distribution

Any distribution whose density can be expressed as

$$f(y|\theta, \phi) = \exp\left(\frac{y\theta + b(\theta)}{a(\phi)} + c(y, \phi)\right)$$

where $b'(\theta) = \mu$ and $b''(\theta) = V$

- Can take some effort to convert usual density to this form
- Includes Normal, Bern, Poisson, Gamma, Multinomial, ...

Exponential family examples

Normal:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y; \mu, \sigma^2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \mu)^2\right) \\ &= \exp\left((y\mu - \mu^2/2)/\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2}(y^2/\sigma^2 + \log(2\pi\sigma^2))\right) \end{aligned}$$

Exponential family examples

Bernoulli:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y; p) &= \exp(y \log(p) + (1 - y) \log(1 - p)) \\ &= \exp\left(y \log \frac{p}{1 - p} + (-\log(1 - p))\right) \end{aligned}$$

Today's big ideas

- Logistic regression and GLMs
-

- Suggested reading: ISLR Ch 4.2 and 4.3